

## 2013 Connecticut Envirothon Oral Presentation Scoring Form Habitat Management

Judge ID	Session #	Team #	Score
<b>Part One</b>	<b>Introduction (5 points)</b>		<b>Circle score</b>
<b>1</b>	The team commented briefly on the purpose of their presentation and their habitat management plans.	<b>1 2 3 4 5</b>	
<b>Part One Subtotal :</b>			
<b>Part Two</b>	<b>Presentation (60 points)</b>		
<b>2</b>	The team accurately <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• described the natural resources,</li> <li>• current habitat conditions and</li> <li>• explained how they assessed the suitability of the property for NEC or other species.</li> </ul>	<b>2 4 6 8 10 12</b>	
<b>3</b>	The team <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• described the threats that have put the focus species at risk.</li> <li>• discussed changes that are likely to pose future threats.</li> </ul>	<b>2 4 6 8 10</b>	
<b>4</b>	The team <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• discussed their recommendations,</li> <li>• described how they will be implemented,</li> <li>• explained the rationale for the proposed management.</li> </ul> The team took into consideration the current conditions, the habitat potential and the site's location within the landscape.	<b>2 4 6 8 10 12</b>	
<b>5</b>	The team listed species, including GCN species, that will benefit from the proposed management and gave background information on focus species.	<b>2 4 6 8 10 12</b>	
<b>6</b>	The team <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• described the process of succession,</li> <li>• discussed the importance of early successional habitat to diversity and to species whose populations are declining in CT and the Northeast,</li> <li>• discussed how succession will impact future management of the WMA.</li> </ul>	<b>2 4 6 8 10 12</b>	
<b>7</b>	The team suggested funding options	<b>0 1 2</b>	
<b>Part Two Subtotal:</b>			

<b>Part Three</b>	<b>Quality of the Presentation (23 points)</b>	
<b>8</b>	<b>Visual Aids used to enhance the presentation were clear, readable, attractive, and effective.</b>	<b>1 4 7 10 12 15</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and a strong conclusion.</b>	<b>1 2 3</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Presentation was enhanced by effective public speaking skills: professionalism, eye contact, gestures, voice inflection and originality.</b>	<b>1 2 3 4 5</b>
<b>Part Three Subtotal :</b>		
<b>Part Four</b>	<b>Required Elements (12 points)</b>	
<b>11</b>	<b>Add up to five points for team member participation in presentation (Team members get one point each for significant oral participation.)</b>	<b>1 2 3 4 5</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Add two points if the presentation was completed in the 9 to 11 minutes allotted. 0 points if outside of time allotted.</b>	<b>0 2</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Question and Answer Portion: Answers were clear, logical and concise with all team members participating.</b>	<b>1 2 3 4 5</b>
<b>Part Four Subtotal :</b>		
<b>Part One Score</b>	<b>(5 possible points)</b>	
<b>Part Two Score</b>	<b>(60 possible points)</b>	
<b>Part Three Score</b>	<b>(23 possible points)</b>	
<b>Part Four Score</b>	<b>(12 possible points)</b>	
<b>TOTAL SCORE out of possible 100 points</b>		